

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	8:05CR81
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
WAYNE EVAN KOOISTRA,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

This matter is before the court on the motions for an extension of time by defendant Wayne Evan Kooistra (Kooistra) (Filing No. 24). Kooistra seeks an extension of time to September 19, 2005, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to paragraph 3 of the progression order (Filing No. 18). Defense counsel represents to the court that counsel for the government has no objection to the extension. Kooistra has submitted an affidavit in accordance with paragraph 9 of the progression order whereby Kooistra consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 24). Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

Defendant Kooistra's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 24) is granted. Defendant Kooistra is given until **on or before September 18, 2005**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order (Filing No. 18). The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **July 12, 2005 and September 18, 2005**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 12th day of July, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge